

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/877,128 06/11/2001 Sang Seok Lee 049128-5010 2063 9629 7590 08/20/2003 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP **EXAMINER** 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW KENNEDY, JENNIFER M WASHINGTON, DC 20004 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2812

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Offic Action Summary    Examin r	· · · •			ju	<u>/</u>
Examin r Jennifer M. Kennedy 2812  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address - Peri df r Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of this communication.  Edensicis of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 31(a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the MONTH Stome the mailing date of the communication.  If the MONTH Stome the mailing date of the communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 30X (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an expire 30X (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an expire of the communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an expire of the communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an expire and the communication.  Failure for specific time significants. Set of CFR 1.76(b).  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an expire and the communication.  State of the communication of the maximum statutory period will apply an expire the specified of the communication.  State of the communication of the maximum state of the communication.  It is a period of the communication of the maximum state of the communication.  State of the communication of the maximum state of the maximum state of the communication.  State of the communication of the practice of the period will apply and the communication.  It is a possible of the communication of the provision of the period will apply and the communication.  It is a possible of the communication of the priodity documents have		Appl	icati n N .	Applicant(s)	
Jennifer M. Kennedy   Z812	Office Action Communication	i	77,128	LEE, SANG SEOK	
Peri d f r Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for riply specified allow a less than thirty (30) days are reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for riply specified above is less than thirty (30) days are reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for riply specified above is less than thirty (30) days are reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for riply specified above is less than thirty (30) days are reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  Any reply recorded by the Office later than there mornis after the mailing date of this communication. Provided the communication (50) days will be considered timely.  Any reply recorded by the Office later than there mornis after the mailing date of this communication.  Any of the application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disp sition of Claims  4) © Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  11) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  11) □ The proposed drawing correctio	Onic Action Summary		nin r	Art Unit	
Peri d f r Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed subtra 57(c) (MONTHS from the maling date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is spedified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is spedified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication.  Failure for group within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDADE (33 U.S. C. 133).  Any reply resolved by the Office later than three morbs after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search of particular three applications is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disp sittion of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to Extra allowed.  8) The generalization is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: all accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  3) See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication on				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the minity (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the minity (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will explice SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filled on 04 Juna 2003.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disp sition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filled on		mmunication appears o	n the cover sheet with the	c rrespondence ac	iaress
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disp sition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM  - Extensions of time may be available under the prafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the lift the period for reply specified above is less than If NO period for reply is specified above, the max Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	IMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nis communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within ti imum statutory period will apply for reply will, by statute, cause ti months after the mailing date of	no event, however, may a reply be to ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) da and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered time in the mailing date of this c  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disp sition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are rejected to are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cacepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on <u>04 June 2</u>	<u>003</u> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disp sition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)☐ This action	on is non-final.		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	closed in accordance with the				ie merits is
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) □ □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	•	n the application.	•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.			n consideration.		
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	<del></del>			
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected	I to.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f):  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or elect	on requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	Application Papers				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	9) The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	10) The drawing(s) filed on i	s/are: a) ☐ accepted or	b)  objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		-		oved by the Examin	er.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
<ul> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>	·	-	•		
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) a)          The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.     </li> </ol>			y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f). <sup>-</sup>	
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>	<u> </u>	-			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	application from the	International Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	14) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for domestic prior	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional	l application).
	_a)  The translation of the foreign	gn language provisiona	al application has been red	ceived.	,
Attachment(s)	ttachment(s)	•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re		5) Notice of Informal		

Art Unit: 2812

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with regard to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 have been fully considered, but they are not deemed to be persuasive. The response to these arguments will be incorporated in the new ground of rejection given below.

The examiner notes that a typographical error was included in the non-final office action. Claims 1-6 were listed as rejected, but claims 1-7 should have been listed as rejected (as written in the office action summary). The examiner notes that the subject matter of claim 7 was addressed in the rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Von Gutfeld (U.S. Patent No. 6,219,126).

Von Gutfeld discloses the device as claimed including an upper plate (2a) and a lower plate (1a), a protrusion (3a, 3c) between a sealing area (peripheral portion)

Art Unit: 2812

provided with a sealant and a picture display area (central portion) on one of the upper and lower plates, a the sealant (see column 4, lines 10-20) on one of the upper and lower plates, a liquid crystal layer (see column 4, lines 2-5) on one of the upper and lower plates using a liquid crystal dispensing method, such that the liquid crystal is disposed between the upper and lower places such that the protrusion completely contains the liquid crystal material in the picture displaying area.

Von Gutfeld further discloses the device wherein the sealant (2b) is formed on one of the upper an lower plates and the protrusion (3a, 3c) is formed on the other one of the upper and lower plates, and wherein the sealant (2a) and the protrusion (3a, 3c) are formed on the same one of the upper and lower plates, and wherein the protrusion is formed from any one of metal, indium-tin-oxide and organic insulating film (see column 5, lines 20-30).

Applicant argues that Von Gutfeld discloses that small vent holes are provided so that not all of the liquid crystal is completely contained by the protrusion. The examiner notes that Von Gutfeld discloses this alternative in the case when accuracy of amount of liquid crystal is a problem it may be preferable to deposit an excess of LC material and then provide a small vent hole. However, Von Gutfeld clearly discloses depositing a preselected amount of liquid crystal (see column 4, lines 1-10) and that the vent holes are not required. The examiner maintains that Von Gutfeld discloses an embodiment in which vent holes are not formed, and thus the liquid crystal is completely contained by the protrusion.

Art Unit: 2812

Teaching another way is a broad concept. It refers to a situation where a reference teaches a preferred, a better or an alternative way to a claimed way of accomplish something. A reference must be considered for all it teaches. *Ashland Oil, Inc. V. Delta Resins and Refractories, Inc.*, 776 F.2d 281, 296, 227 USPQ 657, 666 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Von Gutfeld (U.S. Patent No. 6,219,126) in view of Ishihara et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,263,888).

Von Gutfeld discloses the method including the steps of providing an upper plate (2a) and a lower plate (1a), forming a protrusion (3a, 3c) between a sealing area (peripheral portion) provided with a sealant and a picture display area (central portion) on one of the upper and lower plates, forming the sealant (see column 4, lines 10-20) on one of the upper and lower plates, forming a liquid crystal layer (see column 4, lines 2-5) on one of the upper and lower plates using a liquid crystal dispensing method, and joining the upper plate with the lower plate (see column 4, lines 20-25).

Art Unit: 2812

Von Gutfeld further discloses the method and the device wherein the sealant (2b) is formed on one of the upper an lower plates and the protrusion (3a, 3c) is formed on the other one of the upper and lower plates, wherein the sealant (2a) and the protrusion (3) are formed on the same one of the upper and lower plates and the liquid crystal is deposited such that the liquid crystal is disposed between the upper and lower places such that the protrusion completely contains the liquid crystal material in the picture displaying area and wherein the protrusion is formed from any one of metal, indium-tin-oxide and organic insulating film (see column 5, lines 20-30).

Von Gutfeld does not explicitly disclose the method of dispensing the liquid crystal on the picture display are evenly, but does disclose one would want to dispense of it by the method of Ishihara et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,263,88). Ishihara discloses the method of dispensing the liquid crystal evenly (see item 2 of Figure 3(a), and column 4, lines 25-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to since Von Gutfeld expressly states this method could be used and because the method of Ishihara allows for a high-quality display panel.

Applicant argues that Von Gutfeld discloses that small vent holes are provided so that not all of the liquid crystal is completely contained by the protrusion. The examiner notes that Von Gutfeld discloses this alternative in the case when accuracy of amount of liquid crystal is a problem it may be preferable to deposit an excess of LC material and then provide a small vent hole. However, Von Gutfeld clearly discloses depositing a preselected amount of liquid crystal (see column 4, lines 1-10) and that the vent holes are not required. The examiner maintains that Von Gutfeld discloses an embodiment in

Art Unit: 2812

which vent holes are not formed, and thus the liquid crystal is completely contained by the protrusion.

Teaching another way is a broad concept. It refers to a situation where a reference teaches a preferred, a better or an alternative way to a claimed way of accomplish something. A reference must be considered for all it teaches. *Ashland Oil, Inc. V. Delta Resins and Refractories, Inc.,* 776 F.2d 281, 296, 227 USPQ 657, 666 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2812

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer M. Kennedy whose telephone number is (703) 308-6171. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30-5:00.

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

grup

jmk

August 5, 2003

John F. Niebling

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800